

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 4937  
Category: Informational

P. Arberg  
Redback Networks  
V. Mammoliti  
Cisco Systems  
June 2007

## IANA Considerations for PPP over Ethernet (PPPoE)

### Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

### Abstract

This document describes the IANA considerations for the PPP over Ethernet (PPPoE) protocol.

### Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	2
1.1. Terminology .....	2
1.2. Specification of Requirements .....	2
2. IANA Considerations .....	2
2.1. Registration Policies for PPPoE TAG Values .....	2
2.2. Reserved PPPoE TAG Values .....	3
2.3. Registration Policies for PPPoE Code Fields .....	3
2.4. Reserved PPPoE Code fields .....	4
3. Security Considerations .....	4
4. References .....	4
4.1. Normative References .....	4
4.2. Informative References .....	4

## 1. Introduction

This document provides guidance to the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) regarding the registration of values related to the PPP over Ethernet Protocol (PPPoE), defined in [RFC2516], in accordance with BCP 26, [RFC2434]. It also reserves PPPoE TAG values as well as PPPoE packet Code fields, which are or have been in use on the Internet.

### 1.1. Terminology

The following terms are used here with the meanings defined in BCP 26: "name space", "registration".

The following policies are used here with the meanings defined in BCP 26: "First Come First Served".

### 1.2. Specification of Requirements

In this document, several words are used to signify the requirements of the specification. These words are often capitalized. The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

## 2. IANA Considerations

The PPPoE protocol, as defined in [RFC2516], defines two name spaces that require registration, the PPPoE TAG and the PPPoE Code field.

### 2.1. Registration Policies for PPPoE TAG Values

IANA has set up a registry of "PPPoE TAG Values". These are 16-bit values. PPPoE TAG values already in use are specified as reserved in this document. All other TAG values between 0 and 65535 are to be assigned by IANA, using the "First Come First Served" policy defined in [RFC2434].

A TAG-Name and a description for the usage, as well as a point of contact, MUST be provided for any assignment from this registry. A document reference SHOULD also be provided.

## 2.2. Reserved PPPoE TAG Values

TAG Value	TAG Name	Tag Description	Reference
0	0x0000	End-Of-List	[RFC2516]
257	0x0101	Service-Name	[RFC2516]
258	0x0102	AC-Name	[RFC2516]
259	0x0103	Host-Uniq	[RFC2516]
260	0x0104	AC-Cookie	[RFC2516]
261	0x0105	Vendor-Specific	[RFC2516]
262	0x0106	Credits	[RFC4938]
263	0x0107	Metrics	[RFC4938]
264	0x0108	Sequence Number	[RFC4938]
272	0x0110	Relay-Session-Id	[RFC2516]
273	0x0111	HURL	[CARREL]
274	0x0112	MOTM	[CARREL]
288	0x0120	PPP-Max-Payload	[RFC4638]
289	0x0121	IP_Route_Add	[CARREL]
513	0x0201	Service-Name-Error	[RFC2516]
514	0x0202	AC-System-Error	[RFC2516]
515	0x0203	Generic-Error	[RFC2516]

## 2.3. Registration Policies for PPPoE Code Fields

IANA has set up a registry of PPPoE Active Discovery Code fields. These are 8-bit values. PPPoE Code fields already in use are specified as reserved in this document. All other Code values between 0 and 255 are to be assigned by IANA, using the "First Come First Served" policy defined in [RFC2434].

A PPPoE Active Discovery packet name and a description for the usage, as well as a point of contact, MUST be provided for any assignment from this registry.

A document reference SHOULD also be provided.

## 2.4. Reserved PPPoE Code fields

Code	PPPoE Packet Name	Description	Reference
0 0x00	PPP Session Stage	See the reference	[RFC2516]
7 0x07	PADO, Offer	See the reference	[RFC2516]
9 0x09	PADI, Initiation	See the reference	[RFC2516]
10 0x0a	PADG, Session-Grant	See the reference	[RFC4938]
11 0x0b	PADC, Session-Credit Response	See the reference	[RFC4938]
12 0x0c	PADQ, Quality	See the reference	[RFC4938]
25 0x19	PADR, Request	See the reference	[RFC2516]
101 0x65	PADS, Session-confirmation	See the reference	[RFC2516]
167 0xa7	PADT, Terminate	See the reference	[RFC2516]
211 0xd3	PADM, Message	See the reference	[CARREL]
212 0xd4	PADN, Network	See the reference	[CARREL]

## 3. Security Considerations

This document focuses on IANA considerations for the PPPoE protocol, and as such, should help remove the possibility of the same PPPoE code field and PPPoE TAG value being used for different functionalities.

## 4. References

### 4.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC2434] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 2434, October 1998.
- [RFC2516] Mamakos, L., Lidl, K., Evarts, J., Carrel, D., Simone, D., and R. Wheeler, "A Method for Transmitting PPP Over Ethernet (PPPoE)", RFC 2516, February 1999.

### 4.2. Informative References

- [CARREL] Carrel D., Simone D., Ho C. and T. Stoner, "Extensions to a Method for Transmitting PPP Over Ethernet (PPPoE)", Work in Progress.

[RFC4938] Berry, B. and H. Holgate, "PPP Over Ethernet (PPPoE) Extensions for Credit Flow and Link Metrics", RFC 4938, June 2007.

[RFC4638] Arberg, P., Kourkouzelis, D., Duckett, M., Anschutz, T., and J. Moisand, "Accommodating a Maximum Transit Unit/Maximum Receive Unit (MTU/MRU) Greater Than 1492 in the Point-to-Point Protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE)", RFC 4638, September 2006.

#### Authors' Addresses

Peter Arberg  
Redback Networks, Inc.  
300 Holger Way  
San Jose, CA 95134  
USA  
EMail: parberg@redback.com

Vince Mammoliti  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
181 Bay Street, Suite 3400  
Toronto, Ontario, M5J 2T3  
Canada  
EMail: vince@cisco.com

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

