

## E.164 number and DNS

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

This document discusses the use of the Domain Name System (DNS) for storage of E.164 numbers. More specifically, how DNS can be used for identifying available services connected to one E.164 number. Routing of the actual connection using the service selected using these methods is not discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Through transformation of E.164 numbers into DNS names and the use of existing DNS services like delegation through NS records, and use of NAPTR [1] records in DNS [2] [3], one can look up what services are available for a specific domain name in a decentralized way with distributed management of the different levels in the lookup process.

### 1.1 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "REQUIRED", "SHOULD", "RECOMMENDED", and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119 [4].

## 2. E.164 numbers and DNS

The domain "e164.arpa" is being populated in order to provide the infrastructure in DNS for storage of E.164 numbers. In order to facilitate distributed operations, this domain is divided into subdomains. Holders of E.164 numbers which want to be listed in DNS

should contact the appropriate zone administrator in order to be listed, by examining the SOA resource record associated with the zone, just like in normal DNS operations.

Of course, as with other domains, policies for such listings will be controlled on a subdomain basis and may differ in different parts of the world.

To find the DNS names for a specific E.164 number, the following procedure is to be followed:

1. See that the E.164 number is written in its full form, including the countrycode IDDD. Example: +46-8-9761234
2. Remove all non-digit characters with the exception of the leading '+'. Example: +4689761234
3. Remove all characters with the exception of the digits. Example: 4689761234
4. Put dots (".") between each digit. Example: 4.6.8.9.7.6.1.2.3.4
5. Reverse the order of the digits. Example: 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4
6. Append the string ".e164.arpa" to the end. Example: 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa

#### 2.1 Special note about the '+'

The '+' is kept in stage 2 in section 2 to flag that the number which the regular expression is operating on is a E.164 number. Future work will be needed to determine how other numbering plans (such as closed ones) might be identified. It is possible, but not definite, that they would use a similar mechanism as the one described in this document.

#### 3. Fetching URIs given an E.164 number

For a record in DNS, the NAPTR record is used for identifying available ways of contacting a specific node identified by that name. Specifically, it can be used for knowing what services exists for a specific domain name, including phone numbers by the use of the e164.arpa domain as described above.

The identification is using the NAPTR resource record defined for use in the URN resolution process, but it can be generalized in a way that suits the needs specified in this document.

It is the string which is the result of step 2 in section 2 above which is input to the NAPTR algorithm.

### 3.1 The NAPTR record

The key fields in the NAPTR RR are order, preference, service, flags, regexp, and replacement. For a detailed description, see:

- o The order field specifies the order in which records MUST be processed when multiple NAPTR records are returned in response to a single query.
- o The preference field specifies the order in which records SHOULD be processed when multiple NAPTR records have the same value of "order".
- o The service field specifies the resolution protocol and resolution service(s) that will be available if the rewrite specified by the regexp or replacement fields is applied.
- o The flags field contains modifiers that affect what happens in the next DNS lookup, typically for optimizing the process.
- o The regexp field is one of two fields used for the rewrite rules, and is the core concept of the NAPTR record.
- o The replacement field is the other field that may be used for the rewrite rule.

Note that the client applies all the substitutions and performs all lookups, they are not performed in the DNS servers. Note that URIs are stored in the regexp field.

#### 3.1.1 Specification for use of NAPTR Resource Records

The input is an E.164 encoded telephone number. The output is a Uniform Resource Identifier in its absolute form according to the 'absoluteURI' production in the Collected ABNF found in RFC2396 [5]

An E.164 number, without any characters but leading '+' and digits, (result of step 2 in section 2 above) is the input to the NAPTR algorithm.

The service supported for a call is E2U.

### 3.1.2 Specification of Service E2U (E.164 to URI)

- \* Name: E.164 to URI
- \* Mnemonic: E2U
- \* Number of Operands: 1
- \* Type of Each Operand: First operand is an E.164 number.
- \* Format of Each Operand: First operand is the E.164 number in the form as specified in step 2 in section 2 in this document.
- \* Algorithm: Opaque
- \* Output: One or more URIs
- \* Error Conditions:
  - o E.164 number not in the numbering plan
  - o E.164 number in the numbering plan, but no URIs exist for that number
  - o Service unavailable
- \* Security Considerations:
  - o Malicious Redirection
 

One of the fundamental dangers related to any service such as this is that a malicious entry in a resolver's database will cause clients to resolve the E.164 into the wrong URI. The possible intent may be to cause the client to retrieve a resource containing fraudulent or damaging material.
  - o Denial of Service
 

By removing the URI to which the E.164 maps, a malicious intruder may remove the client's ability to access the resource.

This operation is used to map a one E.164 number to a list of URIs. The first well-known step in the resolution process is to remove all non-digits apart from the leading '+' from the E.164 number as described in step 1 and 2 in section 2 of this document.

## 3.2 Examples

### 3.2.1 Example 1

```
$ORIGIN 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa.
IN NAPTR 100 10 "u" "sip+E2U"      "!^.*$!sip:info@tele2.se!"      .
IN NAPTR 102 10 "u" "mailto+E2U"   "!^.*$!mailto:info@tele2.se!"   .
```

This describes that the domain 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa is preferably contacted by SIP, and secondly by SMTP.

In both cases, the next step in the resolution process is to use the resolution mechanism for each of the protocols, (SIP and SMTP) to know what node to contact for each.

### 3.2.2 Example 2

```
$ORIGIN 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa.
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "sip+E2U"      "!^.*$!sip:paf@swip.net!"      .
IN NAPTR 102 10 "u" "mailto+E2U"  "!^.*$!mailto:paf@swip.net!"  .
IN NAPTR 102 10 "u" "tel+E2U"     "!^.*$!tel:+4689761234!"     .
```

Note that the preferred method is to use the SIP protocol, but the result of the rewrite of the NAPTR record is a URI (the "u" flag in the NAPTR record). In the case of the protocol SIP, the URI might be a SIP URI, which is resolved as described in RFC 2543 [6]. In the case of the "tel" URI scheme [7], the procedure is restarted with this new E.164 number. The client is responsible for loop detection.

The rest of the resolution of the routing is done as described above.

### 3.2.3 Example 3

```
$ORIGIN 6.4.e164.arpa.
* IN NAPTR 100 10 "u" "ldap+E2U"  "!^+46(.*)$!ldap://ldap.se/cn=01!" .
```

We see in this example that information about all E.164 numbers in the 46 countrycode (for Sweden) exists in an LDAP server, and the search to do is specified by the LDAP URI [8].

## 4. IANA Considerations

This memo requests that the IANA delegate the E164.ARPA domain following instructions to be provided by the IAB. Names within this zone are to be delegated to parties according to the ITU recommendation E.164. The names allocated should be hierarchic in accordance with ITU Recommendation E.164, and the codes should be assigned in accordance with that Recommendation.

Delegations in the zone e164.arpa (not delegations in delegated domains of e164.arpa) should be done after Expert Review, and the IESG will appoint a designated expert.

## 5. Security Considerations

As this system is built on top of DNS, one can not be sure that the information one get back from DNS is more secure than any DNS query. To solve that, the use of DNSSEC [9] for securing and verifying zones is to be recommended.

The caching in DNS can make the propagation time for a change take the same amount of time as the time to live for the NAPTR records in the zone that is changed. The use of this in an environment where IP-addresses are for hire (for example, when using DHCP [11]) must therefore be done very carefully.

There are a number of countries (and other numbering environments) in which there are multiple providers of call routing and number/name-translation services. In these areas, any system that permits users, or putative agents for users, to change routing or supplier information may provide incentives for changes that are actually unauthorized (and, in some cases, for denial of legitimate change requests). Such environments should be designed with adequate mechanisms for identification and authentication of those requesting changes and for authorization of those changes.

## 6. Acknowledgements

Support and ideas have come from people at Ericsson, Bjorn Larsson and the group which implemented this scheme in their lab to see that it worked. Input has also come from ITU-T SG2, Working Party 1/2 (Numbering, Routing, Global Mobility and Service Definition), the ENUM working group in the IETF, John Klensin and Leif Sunnegardh.

## References

- [1] Mealling, M. and R. Daniel, "The Naming Authority Pointer (NAPTR) DNS Resource Record", RFC 2915, September 2000.
- [2] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, RFC 1034, November 1987.
- [3] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, RFC 1035, November 1987.
- [4] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [5] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R.T. and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", RFC 2396, August 1998.
- [6] Handley, M., Schulzrinne, H., Schooler, E. and J. Rosenberg, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 2543, March 1999.
- [7] Vaha-Sipila, A., "URLs for Telephone Calls", RFC 2806, April 2000.

- [8] Howes, T. and M. Smith, "An LDAP URL Format", RFC 1959, June 1996.
- [9] Eastlake, D., "Domain Name System Security Extensions", RFC 2535, March 1999.
- [10] Gulbrandsen, A., Vixie, P. and L. Esibov, "A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV)", RFC 2782, February 2000.
- [11] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", RFC 2131, March 1997.

Author's Address

Patrik Faltstrom  
Cisco Systems Inc  
170 W Tasman Drive SJ-13/2  
San Jose CA 95134  
USA

EMail: [paf@cisco.com](mailto:paf@cisco.com)  
URI: <http://www.cisco.com>

## Appendix A. Scenario

Say that the content of the e164.arpa zone is the following:

```
$ORIGIN e164.arpa.
6.4 IN NS ns.regulator-e164.example.se.
```

The regulator has in turn given a series of 10000 numbers to the telco with the name Telco-A. The regulator because of that has in his DNS.

```
$ORIGIN 6.4.e164.arpa.
6.7.9.8 IN NS ns.telco-a.example.se.
```

A user named Sven Svensson has from Telco A got the phone number +46-8-9761234. The user gets the service of running DNS from the company Redirection Service. Sven Svensson has asked Telco A to point out Redirection Service as the authoritative source for information about the number +46-8-9761234. Telco A because of this puts in his DNS the following.

```
$ORIGIN 6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa.
4.3.2.1 IN NS ns.redirection-service.example.se.
```

Sven Svensson has already plain telephony from Telco A, but also a SIP service from the company Sip Service which provides Sven with the SIP URI "sip:sven@sips.se". The ISP with the name ISP A runs email and webpages for Sven, under the email address sven@ispa.se, and URI http://svensson.ispa.se.

The DNS for the redirection service because of this contains the following.

```
$ORIGIN 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa.
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "sip+E2U"      "!^.*$!sip:sven@sips.se!"      .
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "mailto+E2U"   "!^.*$!mailto:sven@ispa.se!"   .
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "http+E2U"     "!^.*$!http://svensson.ispa.se!" .
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "tel+E2U"      "!^.*$!tel:+46-8-9761234!"      .
```



A user, John Smith, want to contact Sven Svensson, he to start with only has the E.164 number of Sven, i.e. +46-8-9761234. He takes the number, and enters the number in his communication client, which happen to know how to handle the SIP protocol. The client removes the dashes, and ends up with the E.164 number +4689761234. That is what is used in the algorithm for NAPTR records, which is as follows.

The client converts the E.164 number into the domain name 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa., and queries for NAPTR records for this domainname. Using DNS mechanisms which includes following the NS record referrals, the following records are returned:

```
$ORIGIN 4.3.2.1.6.7.9.8.6.4.e164.arpa.  
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "sip+E2U"      "!.*$.!sip:sven@sips.se"      .  
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "mailto+E2U"   "!.*$.!mailto:sven@ispa.se"   .  
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "http+E2U"     "!.*$.!http://svensson.ispa.se" .  
IN NAPTR 10 10 "u" "tel+E2U"      "!.*$.!tel:+46-8-9761234"      .
```

Because the client knows sip, the first record above is selected, and the regular expression "!.\*\$.!sip:sven@sips.se" is applied to the original string, "+4689761234". The output is "sip:sven@sips.se" which is used according to SIP resolution.

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

