

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 1500  
Obsoletes: RFCs 1410, 1360, 1280,  
1250, 1100, 1083, 1130, 1140, 1200  
STD: 1

Internet Architecture Board  
J. Postel, Editor  
August 1993

## INTERNET OFFICIAL PROTOCOL STANDARDS

### Status of this Memo

This memo describes the state of standardization of protocols used in the Internet as determined by the Internet Architecture Board (IAB). Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Introduction . . . . .  | 2  |
| 1. The Standardization Process . . . . .                          | 2  |
| 2. The Request for Comments Documents . . . . .                   | 5  |
| 3. Other Reference Documents . . . . .                            | 6  |
| 3.1. Assigned Numbers . . . . .                                   | 6  |
| 3.2. Gateway Requirements . . . . .                               | 6  |
| 3.3. Host Requirements . . . . .                                  | 6  |
| 3.4. The MIL-STD Documents . . . . .                              | 6  |
| 4. Explanation of Terms . . . . .                                 | 7  |
| 4.1. Definitions of Protocol State (Maturity Level) . . . . .     | 8  |
| 4.1.1. Standard Protocol . . . . .                                | 8  |
| 4.1.2. Draft Standard Protocol . . . . .                          | 8  |
| 4.1.3. Proposed Standard Protocol . . . . .                       | 9  |
| 4.1.4. Experimental Protocol . . . . .                            | 9  |
| 4.1.5. Informational Protocol . . . . .                           | 9  |
| 4.1.6. Historic Protocol . . . . .                                | 9  |
| 4.2. Definitions of Protocol Status (Requirement Level) . . . . . | 9  |
| 4.2.1. Required Protocol . . . . .                                | 9  |
| 4.2.2. Recommended Protocol . . . . .                             | 9  |
| 4.2.3. Elective Protocol . . . . .                                | 10 |
| 4.2.4. Limited Use Protocol . . . . .                             | 10 |
| 4.2.5. Not Recommended Protocol . . . . .                         | 10 |
| 5. The Standards Track . . . . .                                  | 10 |
| 5.1. The RFC Processing Decision Table . . . . .                  | 10 |
| 5.2. The Standards Track Diagram . . . . .                        | 12 |
| 6. The Protocols . . . . .  | 14 |
| 6.1. Recent Changes . . . . .                                     | 14 |
| 6.1.1. New RFCs . . . . .   | 14 |
| 6.1.2. Other Changes . . . . .                                    | 20 |
| 6.2. Standard Protocols . . . . .                                 | 22 |

|        |  |    |
|--------|--|----|
| 6.3.   | Network-Specific Standard Protocols . . . . .                | 24 |
| 6.4.   | Draft Standard Protocols . . . . .                           | 25 |
| 6.5.   | Proposed Standard Protocols . . . . .                        | 26 |
| 6.6.   | Telnet Options . . . . .                                     | 28 |
| 6.7.   | Experimental Protocols . . . . .                             | 29 |
| 6.8.   | Informational Protocols . . . . .                            | 30 |
| 6.9.   | Historic Protocols . . . . .                                 | 31 |
| 7.     | Contacts . . . . .   | 32 |
| 7.1.   | IAB, IETF, and IRTF Contacts . . . . .                       | 32 |
| 7.1.1. | Internet Architecture Board (IAB) Contact . . . . .          | 32 |
| 7.1.2. | Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Contact . . . . .     | 33 |
| 7.1.3. | Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Contact . . . . .        | 34 |
| 7.2.   | Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Contact . . . . . | 34 |
| 7.3.   | Request for Comments Editor Contact . . . . .                | 35 |
| 7.4.   | Network Information Center Contact . . . . .                 | 35 |
| 7.5.   | Sources for Requests for Comments . . . . .                  | 36 |
| 8.     | Security Considerations . . . . .                            | 36 |
| 9.     | Author's Address . . . . .                                   | 36 |

## Introduction

A discussion of the standardization process and the RFC document series is presented first, followed by an explanation of the terms. Sections 6.2 - 6.9 contain the lists of protocols in each stage of standardization. Finally are pointers to references and contacts for further information.

This memo is intended to be issued approximately quarterly; please be sure the copy you are reading is current. Current copies may be obtained from the Network Information Center (INTERNIC) or from the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) (see the contact information at the end of this memo). Do not use this edition after 31-October-93.

See Section 6.1 for a description of recent changes. In the official lists in sections 6.2 - 6.9, an asterisk (\*) next to a protocol denotes that it is new to this document or has been moved from one protocol level to another, or differs from the previous edition of this document.

## 1. The Standardization Process

The Internet Architecture Board maintains this list of documents that define standards for the Internet protocol suite. See RFC-1358 for the charter of the IAB and RFC-1160 for an explanation of the role and organization of the IAB and its subsidiary groups, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) and the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Each of these groups has a steering group called the IESG

and IRSG, respectively. The IETF develops these standards with the goal of co-ordinating the evolution of the Internet protocols; this co-ordination has become quite important as the Internet protocols are increasingly in general commercial use. The definitive description of the Internet standards process is found in RFC-1310.

The majority of Internet protocol development and standardization activity takes place in the working groups of the IETF.

Protocols which are to become standards in the Internet go through a series of states or maturity levels (proposed standard, draft standard, and standard) involving increasing amounts of scrutiny and testing. When a protocol completes this process it is assigned a STD number (see RFC-1311). At each step, the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG) of the IETF must make a recommendation for advancement of the protocol.

To allow time for the Internet community to consider and react to standardization proposals, a minimum delay of 6 months before a proposed standard can be advanced to a draft standard and 4 months before a draft standard can be promoted to standard.

It is general practice that no proposed standard can be promoted to draft standard without at least two independent implementations (and the recommendation of the IESG). Promotion from draft standard to standard generally requires operational experience and demonstrated interoperability of two or more implementations (and the recommendation of the IESG).

In cases where there is uncertainty as to the proper decision concerning a protocol a special review committee may be appointed consisting of experts from the IETF, IRTF and the IAB with the purpose of recommending an explicit action.

Advancement of a protocol to proposed standard is an important step since it marks a protocol as a candidate for eventual standardization (it puts the protocol "on the standards track"). Advancement to draft standard is a major step which warns the community that, unless major objections are raised or flaws are discovered, the protocol is likely to be advanced to standard in six months.

Some protocols have been superseded by better ones or are otherwise unused. Such protocols are still documented in this memorandum with the designation "historic".

Because it is useful to document the results of early protocol research and development work, some of the RFCs document protocols which are still in an experimental condition. The protocols are

designated "experimental" in this memorandum. They appear in this report as a convenience to the community and not as evidence of their standardization.

Other protocols, such as those developed by other standards organizations, or by particular vendors, may be of interest or may be recommended for use in the Internet. The specifications of such protocols may be published as RFCs for the convenience of the Internet community. These protocols are labeled "informational" in this memorandum.

In addition to the working groups of the IETF, protocol development and experimentation may take place as a result of the work of the research groups of the Internet Research Task Force, or the work of other individuals interested in Internet protocol development. The documentation of such experimental work in the RFC series is encouraged, but none of this work is considered to be on the track for standardization until the IESG has made a recommendation to advance the protocol to the proposed standard state.

A few protocols have achieved widespread implementation without the approval of the IESG. For example, some vendor protocols have become very important to the Internet community even though they have not been recommended by the IESG. However, the IAB strongly recommends that the standards process be used in the evolution of the protocol suite to maximize interoperability (and to prevent incompatible protocol requirements from arising). The use of the terms "standard", "draft standard", and "proposed standard" are reserved in any RFC or other publication of Internet protocols to only those protocols which the IESG has approved.

In addition to a state (like "Proposed Standard"), a protocol is also assigned a status, or requirement level, in this document. The possible requirement levels ("Required", "Recommended", "Elective", "Limited Use", and "Not Recommended") are defined in Section 4.2. When a protocol is on the standards track, that is in the proposed standard, draft standard, or standard state (see Section 5), the status shown in Section 6 is the current status.

Few protocols are required to be implemented in all systems; this is because there is such a variety of possible systems, for example, gateways, routers, terminal servers, workstations, and multi-user hosts. The requirement level shown in this document is only a one word label, which may not be sufficient to characterize the implementation requirements for a protocol in all situations. For some protocols, this document contains an additional status paragraph (an applicability statement). In addition, more detailed status information may be contained in separate requirements documents (see

Section 3).

## 2. The Request for Comments Documents

The documents called Request for Comments (or RFCs) are the working notes of the "Network Working Group", that is the Internet research and development community. A document in this series may be on essentially any topic related to computer communication, and may be anything from a meeting report to the specification of a standard.

Notice:

All standards are published as RFCs, but not all RFCs specify standards.

Anyone can submit a document for publication as an RFC. Submissions must be made via electronic mail to the RFC Editor (see the contact information at the end of this memo, and see RFC 1111).

While RFCs are not refereed publications, they do receive technical review from the task forces, individual technical experts, or the RFC Editor, as appropriate.

The RFC series comprises a wide range of documents, ranging from informational documents of general interests to specifications of standard Internet protocols. In cases where submission is intended to document a proposed standard, draft standard, or standard protocol, the RFC Editor will publish the document only with the approval of the IESG. For documents describing experimental work, the RFC Editor will notify the IESG before publication, allowing for the possibility of review by the relevant IETF working group or IRTF research group and provide those comments to the author. See Section 5.1 for more detail.

Once a document is assigned an RFC number and published, that RFC is never revised or re-issued with the same number. There is never a question of having the most recent version of a particular RFC. However, a protocol (such as File Transfer Protocol (FTP)) may be improved and re-documented many times in several different RFCs. It is important to verify that you have the most recent RFC on a particular protocol. This "Internet Official Protocol Standards" memo is the reference for determining the correct RFC for the current specification of each protocol.

The RFCs are available from the INTERNIC, and a number of other sites. For more information about obtaining RFCs, see Sections 7.4 and 7.5.

### 3. Other Reference Documents

There are three other reference documents of interest in checking the current status of protocol specifications and standardization. These are the Assigned Numbers, the Gateway Requirements, and the Host Requirements. Note that these documents are revised and updated at different times; in case of differences between these documents, the most recent must prevail.

Also, one should be aware of the MIL-STD publications on IP, TCP, Telnet, FTP, and SMTP. These are described in Section 3.4.

#### 3.1. Assigned Numbers

The "Assigned Numbers" document lists the assigned values of the parameters used in the various protocols. For example, IP protocol codes, TCP port numbers, Telnet Option Codes, ARP hardware types, and Terminal Type names. Assigned Numbers was most recently issued as RFC-1340.

#### 3.2. Gateway Requirements

This document reviews the specifications that apply to gateways and supplies guidance and clarification for any ambiguities. Gateway Requirements is RFC-1009. A working group of the IETF is actively preparing a revision.

#### 3.3. Host Requirements

This pair of documents reviews and updates the specifications that apply to hosts, and it supplies guidance and clarification for any ambiguities. Host Requirements was issued as RFC-1122 and RFC-1123.

#### 3.4. The MIL-STD Documents

The Internet community specifications for IP (RFC-791) and TCP (RFC-793) and the DoD MIL-STD specifications are intended to describe exactly the same protocols. Any difference in the protocols specified by these sets of documents should be reported to DISA and to the IESG. The RFCs and the MIL-STDs for IP and TCP differ in style and level of detail. It is strongly advised that the two sets of documents be used together, along with RFC-1122 and RFC-1123.

The Internet and the DoD MIL-STD specifications for the FTP, SMTP, and Telnet protocols are essentially the same documents (RFCs 765, 821, 854). The MIL-STD versions have been edited slightly. Note that the current Internet specification for FTP is RFC-959 (as modified by RFC-1123).

Note that these MIL-STD are now somewhat out of date. The Gateway Requirements (RFC-1009) and Host Requirements (RFC-1122, RFC-1123) take precedence over both earlier RFCs and the MIL-STDs.

|                                      |              |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Internet Protocol (IP)               | MIL-STD-1777 |
| Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)  | MIL-STD-1778 |
| File Transfer Protocol (FTP)         | MIL-STD-1780 |
| Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) | MIL-STD-1781 |
| Telnet Protocol and Options (TELNET) | MIL-STD-1782 |

These documents are available from the Naval Publications and Forms Center. Requests can be initiated by telephone, telegraph, or mail; however, it is preferred that private industry use form DD1425, if possible.

Naval Publications and Forms Center, Code 3015  
5801 Tabor Ave  
Philadelphia, PA 19120  
Phone: 1-215-697-3321 (order tape)  
1-215-697-4834 (conversation)

#### 4. Explanation of Terms

There are two independent categorization of protocols. The first is the "maturity level" or STATE of standardization, one of "standard", "draft standard", "proposed standard", "experimental", "informational" or "historic". The second is the "requirement level" or STATUS of this protocol, one of "required", "recommended", "elective", "limited use", or "not recommended".

The status or requirement level is difficult to portray in a one word label. These status labels should be considered only as an indication, and a further description, or applicability statement, should be consulted.

When a protocol is advanced to proposed standard or draft standard, it is labeled with a current status.

At any given time a protocol occupies a cell of the following matrix. Protocols are likely to be in cells in about the following proportions (indicated by the relative number of Xs). A new protocol is most likely to start in the (proposed standard, elective) cell, or the (experimental, not recommended) cell.

|                       |       | S T A T U S |     |     |     |     |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                       |       | Req         | Rec | Ele | Lim | Not |
| S<br>T<br>A<br>T<br>E | Std   | X           | XXX | XXX |     |     |
|                       | Draft | X           | X   | XXX |     |     |
|                       | Prop  |             | X   | XXX |     |     |
|                       | Info  |             |     |     |     |     |
|                       | Expr  |             |     |     | XXX |     |
|                       | Hist  |             |     |     |     | XXX |
|                       |       |             |     |     |     |     |

What is a "system"?

Some protocols are particular to hosts and some to gateways; a few protocols are used in both. The definitions of the terms below will refer to a "system" which is either a host or a gateway (or both). It should be clear from the context of the particular protocol which types of systems are intended.

#### 4.1. Definitions of Protocol State

Every protocol listed in this document is assigned to a "maturity level" or STATE of standardization: "standard", "draft standard", "proposed standard", "experimental", or "historic".

##### 4.1.1. Standard Protocol

The IESG has established this as an official standard protocol for the Internet. These protocols are assigned STD numbers (see RFC-1311). These are separated into two groups: (1) IP protocol and above, protocols that apply to the whole Internet; and (2) network-specific protocols, generally specifications of how to do IP on particular types of networks.

##### 4.1.2. Draft Standard Protocol

The IESG is actively considering this protocol as a possible Standard Protocol. Substantial and widespread testing and comment are desired. Comments and test results should be submitted to the IESG. There is a possibility that changes will be made in a Draft Standard Protocol before it becomes a Standard Protocol.



#### 4.1.3. Proposed Standard Protocol

These are protocol proposals that may be considered by the IESG for standardization in the future. Implementation and testing by several groups is desirable. Revision of the protocol specification is likely.

#### 4.1.4. Experimental Protocol

A system should not implement an experimental protocol unless it is participating in the experiment and has coordinated its use of the protocol with the developer of the protocol.

Typically, experimental protocols are those that are developed as part of an ongoing research project not related to an operational service offering. While they may be proposed as a service protocol at a later stage, and thus become proposed standard, draft standard, and then standard protocols, the designation of a protocol as experimental may sometimes be meant to suggest that the protocol, although perhaps mature, is not intended for operational use.

#### 4.1.5. Informational Protocol

Protocols developed by other standard organizations, or vendors, or that are for other reasons outside the purview of the IESG, may be published as RFCs for the convenience of the Internet community as informational protocols.

#### 4.1.6. Historic Protocol

These are protocols that are unlikely to ever become standards in the Internet either because they have been superseded by later developments or due to lack of interest.

### 4.2. Definitions of Protocol Status

This document lists a "requirement level" or STATUS for each protocol. The status is one of "required", "recommended", "elective", "limited use", or "not recommended".

#### 4.2.1. Required Protocol

A system must implement the required protocols.

#### 4.2.2. Recommended Protocol

A system should implement the recommended protocols.

#### 4.2.3. Elective Protocol

A system may or may not implement an elective protocol. The general notion is that if you are going to do something like this, you must do exactly this. There may be several elective protocols in a general area, for example, there are several electronic mail protocols, and several routing protocols.

#### 4.2.4. Limited Use Protocol

These protocols are for use in limited circumstances. This may be because of their experimental state, specialized nature, limited functionality, or historic state.

#### 4.2.5. Not Recommended Protocol

These protocols are not recommended for general use. This may be because of their limited functionality, specialized nature, or experimental or historic state.

### 5. The Standards Track

This section discusses in more detail the procedures used by the RFC Editor and the IESG in making decisions about the labeling and publishing of protocols as standards.

#### 5.1. The RFC Processing Decision Table

Here is the current decision table for processing submissions by the RFC Editor. The processing depends on who submitted it, and the status they want it to have.

| *****                        |             |             |                |                |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| S O U R C E                  |             |             |                |                |
| Desired Status               | IAB         | IESG        | IRSG           | Other          |
| Standard or Draft Standard   | Bogus (2)   | Publish (1) | Bogus (2)      | Bogus (2)      |
| Proposed Standard            | Refer (3)   | Publish (1) | Refer (3)      | Refer (3)      |
| Experimental Protocol        | Notify (4)  | Publish (1) | Notify (4)     | Notify (4)     |
| Information or Opinion Paper | Publish (1) | Publish (1) | Discretion (5) | Discretion (5) |

(1) Publish.

(2) Bogus. Inform the source of the rules. RFCs specifying Standard, or Draft Standard must come from the IESG, only.

(3) Refer to an Area Director for review by a WG. Expect to see the document again only after approval by the IESG.

(4) Notify both the IESG and IRSG. If no concerns are raised in two weeks then do Discretion (5), else RFC Editor to resolve the concerns or do Refer (3).

(5) RFC Editor's discretion. The RFC Editor decides if a review is needed and if so by whom. RFC Editor decides to publish or not.

Of course, in all cases the RFC Editor can request or make minor

changes for style, format, and presentation purposes.

The IESG has designated the IESG Secretary as its agent for forwarding documents with IESG approval and for registering concerns in response to notifications (4) to the RFC Editor. Documents from Area Directors or Working Group Chairs may be considered in the same way as documents from "other".

## 5.2. The Standards Track Diagram

There is a part of the STATUS and STATE categorization that is called the standards track. Actually, only the changes of state are significant to the progression along the standards track, though the status assignments may change as well.

The states illustrated by single line boxes are temporary states, those illustrated by double line boxes are long term states. A protocol will normally be expected to remain in a temporary state for several months (minimum six months for proposed standard, minimum four months for draft standard). A protocol may be in a long term state for many years.

A protocol may enter the standards track only on the recommendation of the IESG; and may move from one state to another along the track only on the recommendation of the IESG. That is, it takes action by the IESG to either start a protocol on the track or to move it along.

Generally, as the protocol enters the standards track a decision is made as to the eventual STATUS, requirement level or applicability (elective, recommended, or required) the protocol will have, although a somewhat less stringent current status may be assigned, and it then is placed in the the proposed standard STATE with that status. So the initial placement of a protocol is into state 1. At any time the STATUS decision may be revisited.



The transition from proposed standard (1) to draft standard (2) can only be by action of the IESG and only after the protocol has been proposed standard (1) for at least six months.

The transition from draft standard (2) to standard (3) can only be by action of the IESG and only after the protocol has been draft standard (2) for at least four months.

Occasionally, the decision may be that the protocol is not ready for standardization and will be assigned to the experimental state (4). This is off the standards track, and the protocol may be resubmitted to enter the standards track after further work. There are other paths into the experimental and historic states that do not involve IESG action.

Sometimes one protocol is replaced by another and thus becomes historic, or it may happen that a protocol on the standards track is in a sense overtaken by another protocol (or other events) and becomes historic (state 5).

## 6. The Protocols

Subsection 6.1 lists recent RFCs and other changes. Subsections 6.2 - 6.9 list the standards in groups by protocol state.

### 6.1. Recent Changes

#### 6.1.1. New RFCs:

1501 - OS/2 User Group

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

1500 - This memo.

1499 - Not yet issued.

1498 - On the Naming and Binding of Network Destinations

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

1497 - BOOTP Vendor Information Extensions

This memo is a status report on BOOTP types and is a part of the BOOTP specification which is currently a Draft Standard.

1496 - Rules for Downgrading Messages from X.400/88 to X.400/84 When MIME Content-Types are Present in the Messages

A Proposed Standard protocol.

1495 - Mapping between X.400 and RFC-822 Message Bodies

A Proposed Standard protocol.

1494 - Equivalences between 1988 X.400 and RFC-822 Message Bodies

A Proposed Standard protocol.

1493 - Definitions of Managed Objects for Bridges

A Draft Standard protocol.

- 1492 - An Access Control Protocol, Sometimes Called TACACS

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1491 - A Survey of Advanced Usages of X.500

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1490 - Multiprotocol Interconnect over Frame Relay

A Draft Standard protocol.

- 1489 - Registration of a Cyrillic Character Set

This document defines a character set, and is referenced by "Assigned Numbers" (STD 2).

- 1488 - The X.500 String Representation of Standard Attribute Syntaxes

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1487 - X.500 Lightweight Directory Access Protocol

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1486 - An Experiment in Remote Printing

An Experimental protocol.

- 1485 - A String Representation of Distinguished Names (OSI-DS 23 (v5))

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1484 - Using the OSI Directory to achieve User Friendly Naming (OSI-DS 24 (v1.2))

An Experimental protocol.

- 1483 - Multiprotocol Encapsulation over ATM Adaptation Layer 5

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1482 - Aggregation Support in the NSFNET Policy-Based Routing Database

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1481 - IAB Recommendation for an Intermediate Strategy to Address the Issue of Scaling

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1480 - The US Domain

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1479 - Inter-Domain Policy Routing Protocol Specification: Version-1

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1478 - An Architecture for Inter-Domain Policy Routing

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1477 - IDPR as a Proposed Standard

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1476 - RAP: Internet Route Access Protocol

An Experimental protocol.

- 1475 - TP/IX: The Next Internet

An Experimental protocol.

- 1474 - The Definitions of Managed Objects for the Bridge Network Control Protocol of the Point-to-Point Protocol

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1473 - The Definitions of Managed Objects for the IP Network Control Protocol of the Point-to-Point Protocol

A Proposed Standard protocol.



- 1472 - The Definitions of Managed Objects for the Security Protocols of the Point-to-Point Protocol

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1471 - The Definitions of Managed Objects for the Link Control Protocol of the Point-to-Point Protocol

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1470 - FYI on a Network Management Tool Catalog: Tools for Monitoring and Debugging TCP/IP Internets and Interconnected Devices

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1469 - IP Multicast over Token-Ring Local Area Networks

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1468 - Japanese Character Encoding for Internet Messages

This document defines a character set, and is referenced by "Assigned Numbers" (STD 2).

- 1467 - Status of CIDR Deployment in the Internet

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1466 - Guidelines for Management of IP Address Space

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1465 - Routing Coordination for X.400 MHS Services Within a Multi Protocol / Multi Network Environment Table Format V3 for Static Routing

An Experimental protocol.

- 1464 - Using the Domain Name System To Store Arbitrary String Attributes

An Experimental protocol.

- 1463 - FYI on Introducing the Internet-- A Short Bibliography of Introductory Internetworking Readings

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1462 - FYI on "What is the Internet?"

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1461 - SNMP MIB extension for Multiprotocol Interconnect over X.25

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1460 - Post Office Protocol - Version-3

A Draft Standard protocol.

- 1459 - Internet Relay Chat Protocol

An Experimental protocol.

- 1458 - Requirements for Multicast Protocols

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1457 - Security Label Framework for the Internet

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1456 - Conventions for Encoding the Vietnamese Language - VISCII: Vietnamese Standard Code for Information Interchange - VIQR: Vietnamese Quoted-Readable Specification

This document defines a character set, and is referenced by "Assigned Numbers" (STD 2).

- 1455 - Physical Link Security Type of Service

An Experimental protocol.

- 1454 - Comparison of Proposals for Next Version of IP

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1453 - A Comment on Packet Video Remote Conferencing and the Transport/Network Layers

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1452 - Coexistence between version-1 and version-2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1451 - Manager-to-Manager Management Information Base

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1450 - Management Information Base for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1449 - Transport Mappings for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1448 - Protocol Operations for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1447 - Party MIB for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1446 - Security Protocols for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1445 - Administrative Model for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1444 - Conformance Statements for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1443 - Textual Conventions for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1442 - Structure of Management Information for version-2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1441 - Introduction to version-2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework

A Proposed Standard protocol.

- 1440 - SIFT/UFT: Sender-Initiated/Unsolicited File Transfer

An Experimental protocol.

- 1439 - The Uniqueness of Unique Identifiers

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1438 - Internet Engineering Task Force Statements Of Boredom (SOBs)

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

- 1437 - The Extension of MIME Content-Types to a New Medium

This is an information document and does not specify any level of standard.

#### 6.1.2. Other Changes:

The following are changes to protocols listed in the previous edition.

- 1298 - SNMP over IPX

Obsoleted by 1420.

1284 - Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types

Moved to Historic.

1283 - SNMP over OSI

Obsoleted by 1418.

1214 - "OSI Internet Management: Management Information Base

Moved to Historic.

1203 - Interactive Mail Access Protocol - Version-3

Moved to Historic.

1201 - Transmitting IP Traffic over ARCNET Networks

Moved to Historic.

1094 - NFS: Network File System Protocol Specification

Moved to Informational.

1057 - RPC: Remote Procedure Call Protocol Specification Version-2

Moved to Informational.

RFC 1050 - RPC: Remote Procedure Call Protocol Specification

Moved to Historic.

## 6.2. Standard Protocols

| Protocol    | Name                                    | Status | RFC       | STD   | *     |
|-------------|---|--------|-----------|-------|-------|
| =====       | =====                                   | =====  | =====     | ===== | ===== |
| -----       | Internet Official Protocol Standards    | Req    | 1500      | 1     |       |
| -----       | Assigned Numbers                        | Req    | 1340      | 2     |       |
| -----       | Host Requirements - Communications      | Req    | 1122      | 3     |       |
| -----       | Host Requirements - Applications        | Req    | 1123      | 3     |       |
| -----       | Gateway Requirements                    | Req    | 1009      | 4     |       |
| IP          | Internet Protocol                       | Req    | 791       | 5     |       |
|             | as amended by:-----                     |        |           |       |       |
| -----       | IP Subnet Extension                     | Req    | 950       | 5     |       |
| -----       | IP Broadcast Datagrams                  | Req    | 919       | 5     |       |
| -----       | IP Broadcast Datagrams with Subnets     | Req    | 922       | 5     |       |
| ICMP        | Internet Control Message Protocol       | Req    | 792       | 5     |       |
| IGMP        | Internet Group Multicast Protocol       | Rec    | 1112      | 5     |       |
| UDP         | User Datagram Protocol                  | Rec    | 768       | 6     |       |
| TCP         | Transmission Control Protocol           | Rec    | 793       | 7     |       |
| TELNET      | Telnet Protocol                         | Rec    | 854,855   | 8     |       |
| FTP         | File Transfer Protocol                  | Rec    | 959       | 9     |       |
| SMTP        | Simple Mail Transfer Protocol           | Rec    | 821       | 10    |       |
| MAIL        | Format of Electronic Mail Messages      | Rec    | 822       | 11    |       |
| CONTENT     | Content Type Header Field               | Rec    | 1049      | 11    |       |
| NTPV2       | Network Time Protocol (Version 2)       | Rec    | 1119      | 12    |       |
| DOMAIN      | Domain Name System                      | Rec    | 1034,1035 | 13    |       |
| DNS-MX      | Mail Routing and the Domain System      | Rec    | 974       | 14    |       |
| SNMP        | Simple Network Management Protocol      | Rec    | 1157      | 15    |       |
| SMI         | Structure of Management Information     | Rec    | 1155      | 16    |       |
| Concise-MIB | Concise MIB Definitions                 | Rec    | 1212      | 16    |       |
| MIB-II      | Management Information Base-II          | Rec    | 1213      | 17    |       |
| EGP         | Exterior Gateway Protocol               | Rec    | 904       | 18    |       |
| NETBIOS     | NetBIOS Service Protocols               | Ele    | 1001,1002 | 19    |       |
| ECHO        | Echo Protocol                           | Rec    | 862       | 20    |       |
| DISCARD     | Discard Protocol                        | Ele    | 863       | 21    |       |
| CHARGEN     | Character Generator Protocol            | Ele    | 864       | 22    |       |
| QUOTE       | Quote of the Day Protocol               | Ele    | 865       | 23    |       |
| USERS       | Active Users Protocol                   | Ele    | 866       | 24    |       |
| DAYTIME     | Daytime Protocol                        | Ele    | 867       | 25    |       |
| TIME        | Time Server Protocol                    | Ele    | 868       | 26    |       |
| TFTP        | Trivial File Transfer Protocol          | Ele    | 1350      | 33    |       |
| RIP         | Routing Information Protocol            | Ele    | 1058      | 34    |       |
| TP-TCP      | ISO Transport Service on top of the TCP | Ele    | 1006      | 35    |       |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## Applicability Statements:

IGMP -- The Internet Architecture Board intends to move towards general adoption of IP multicasting, as a more efficient solution than broadcasting for many applications. The host interface has been standardized in RFC-1112; however, multicast-routing gateways are in the experimental stage and are not widely available. An Internet host should support all of RFC-1112, except for the IGMP protocol itself which is optional; see RFC-1122 for more details. Even without IGMP, implementation of RFC-1112 will provide an important advance: IP-layer access to local network multicast addressing. It is expected that IGMP will become recommended for all hosts and gateways at some future date.

SMI, MIB-II SNMP -- The Internet Architecture Board recommends that all IP and TCP implementations be network manageable. At the current time, this implies implementation of the Internet MIB-II (RFC-1213), and at least the recommended management protocol SNMP (RFC-1157).

RIP -- The Routing Information Protocol (RIP) is widely implemented and used in the Internet. However, both implementors and users should be aware that RIP has some serious technical limitations as a routing protocol. The IETF is currently developing several candidates for a new standard "open" routing protocol with better properties than RIP. The IAB urges the Internet community to track these developments, and to implement the new protocol when it is standardized; improved Internet service will result for many users.

TP-TCP -- As OSI protocols become more widely implemented and used, there will be an increasing need to support interoperation with the TCP/IP protocols. The Internet Engineering Task Force is formulating strategies for interoperation. RFC-1006 provides one interoperation mode, in which TCP/IP is used to emulate TP0 in order to support OSI applications. Hosts that wish to run OSI connection-oriented applications in this mode should use the procedure described in RFC-1006. In the future, the IAB expects that a major portion of the Internet will support both TCP/IP and OSI (inter-)network protocols in parallel, and it will then be possible to run OSI applications across the Internet using full OSI protocol "stacks".

### 6.3. Network-Specific Standard Protocols

All Network-Specific Standards have Elective status.

| Protocol   | Name                                     | State | RFC     | STD   | *     |
|------------|--|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| =====      | =====                                    | ===== | =====   | ===== | ===== |
| IP-FDDI    | Transmission of IP and ARP over FDDI Net | Std   | 1390    | 36    |       |
| IP-HIPPI   | IP and ARP on HIPPI                      | Prop  | 1374    |       |       |
| IP-X.25    | X.25 and ISDN in the Packet Mode         | Prop  | 1356    |       |       |
| IP-SMDS    | IP Datagrams over the SMDS Service       | Prop  | 1209    |       |       |
| ARP        | Address Resolution Protocol              | Std   | 826     | 37    |       |
| RARP       | A Reverse Address Resolution Protocol    | Std   | 903     | 38    |       |
| IP-ARPA    | Internet Protocol on ARPANET             | Std   | BBN1822 | 39    |       |
| IP-WB      | Internet Protocol on Wideband Network    | Std   | 907     | 40    |       |
| IP-E       | Internet Protocol on Ethernet Networks   | Std   | 894     | 41    |       |
| IP-EE      | Internet Protocol on Exp. Ethernet Nets  | Std   | 895     | 42    |       |
| IP-IEEE    | Internet Protocol on IEEE 802            | Std   | 1042    | 43    |       |
| IP-DC      | Internet Protocol on DC Networks         | Std   | 891     | 44    |       |
| IP-HC      | Internet Protocol on Hyperchannel        | Std   | 1044    | 45    |       |
| IP-ARC     | Internet Protocol on ARCNET              | Std   | 1051    | 46    |       |
| IP-SLIP    | Transmission of IP over Serial Lines     | Std   | 1055    | 47    |       |
| IP-NETBIOS | Transmission of IP over NETBIOS          | Std   | 1088    | 48    |       |
| IP-IPX     | Transmission of 802.2 over IPX Networks  | Std   | 1132    | 49    |       |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

#### Applicability Statements:

It is expected that a system will support one or more physical networks and for each physical network supported the appropriate protocols from the above list must be supported. That is, it is elective to support any particular type of physical network, and for the physical networks actually supported it is required that they be supported exactly according to the protocols in the above list. See also the Host and Gateway Requirements RFCs for more specific information on network-specific ("link layer") protocols.



## 6.4. Draft Standard Protocols

| Protocol   | Name                                | Status      | RFC       |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| =====      | =====                               | =====       | =====     |
| BRIDGE-MIB | BRIDGE-MIB                          | Elective    | 1493*     |
| IP-FR      | Multiprotocol over Frame Relay      | Prop        | 1490*     |
| ETHER-MIB  | Ethernet MIB                        | Elective    | 1398      |
| NTPV3      | Network Time Protocol (Version 3)   | Elective    | 1305      |
| IP-MTU     | Path MTU Discovery                  | Elective    | 1191      |
| FINGER     | Finger Protocol                     | Elective    | 1288      |
| BGP3       | Border Gateway Protocol 3 (BGP-3)   | Elective    | 1267,1268 |
| OSPF2      | Open Shortest Path First Routing V2 | Elective    | 1247      |
| POP3       | Post Office Protocol, Version 3     | Elective    | 1460*     |
| IP-FDDI    | Internet Protocol on FDDI Networks  | Elective    | 1188      |
| PPP        | Point to Point Protocol             | Elective    | 1171      |
| BOOTP      | Bootstrap Protocol                  | Recommended | 951,1497* |
| NICNAME    | WhoIs Protocol                      | Elective    | 954       |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## Applicability Statements:

PPP -- Point to Point Protocol is a method of sending IP over serial lines, which are a type of physical network. It is anticipated that PPP will be advanced to the network-specifics standard protocol state in the future.

## 6.5. Proposed Standard Protocols

| Protocol<br>===== | Name<br>=====                            | Status<br>===== | RFC<br>===== |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| HARPOON           | Rules for Downgrading Messages...        | Elective        | 1496*        |
| Mapping           | MHS/RFC-822 Message Body Mapping         | Elective        | 1495*        |
| Equiv             | X.400/MIME Body Equivalences             | Elective        | 1494*        |
| X.500syn          | X.500 String Representation ...          | Elective        | 1488*        |
| X.500lite         | X.500 Lightweight ...                    | Elective        | 1487*        |
| STR-REP           | String Representation ...                | Elective        | 1485*        |
| OSI-Dir           | OSI User Friendly Naming ...             | Elective        | 1484*        |
| ATM-ENCAP         | Multiprotocol Encapsulation over ATM     | Elective        | 1483*        |
| IDPR              | Inter-Domain Policy Routing Protocol     | Elective        | 1479*        |
| IDPR-ARCH         | Architecture for IDPR                    | Elective        | 1478*        |
| PPP/Bridge        | MIB Bridge PPP MIB                       | Elective        | 1474*        |
| PPP/IP MIB        | IP Network Control Protocol of PPP MIB   | Elective        | 1473*        |
| PPP/SEC MIB       | Security Protocols of PPP MIB            | Elective        | 1472*        |
| PPP/LCP MIB       | Link Control Protocol of PPP MIB         | Elective        | 1471*        |
| IP-TR-MC          | IP Multicast over Token-Ring LANs        | Elective        | 1469*        |
| X25-MIB           | Multiprotocol Interconnect on X.25 MIB   | Elective        | 1461*        |
| SNMPv2            | Coexistence between SNMPv1 and SNMPv2    | Elective        | 1452*        |
| SNMPv2            | Manager-to-Manager MIB                   | Elective        | 1451*        |
| SNMPv2            | Management Information Base for SNMPv2   | Elective        | 1450*        |
| SNMPv2            | Transport Mappings for SNMPv2            | Elective        | 1449*        |
| SNMPv2            | Protocol Operations for SNMPv2           | Elective        | 1448*        |
| SNMPv2            | Party MIB for SNMPv2                     | Elective        | 1447*        |
| SNMPv2            | Security Protocols for SNMPv2            | Elective        | 1446*        |
| SNMPv2            | Administrative Model for SNMPv2          | Elective        | 1445*        |
| SNMPv2            | Conformance Statements for SNMPv2        | Elective        | 1444*        |
| SNMPv2            | Textual Conventions for SNMPv2           | Elective        | 1443*        |
| SNMPv2            | SMI for SNMPv2                           | Elective        | 1442*        |
| SNMPv2            | Introduction to SNMPv2                   | Elective        | 1441*        |
| SMTP-SIZE         | SMTP Service Ext for Message Size        | Elective        | 1427         |
| SMTP-8BIT         | SMTP Service Ext or 8bit-MIMEtransport   | Elective        | 1426         |
| SMTP-EXT          | SMTP Service Extensions                  | Elective        | 1425         |
| PEM-KEY           | PEM - Key Certification                  | Elective        | 1424         |
| PEM-ALG           | PEM - Algorithms, Modes, and Identifiers | Elective        | 1423         |
| PEM-CKM           | PEM - Certificate-Based Key Management   | Elective        | 1422         |
| PEM-ENC           | PEM - Message Encryption and Auth        | Elective        | 1421         |
| SNMP-IPX          | SNMP over IPX                            | Elective        | 1420         |
| SNMP-AT           | SNMP over AppleTalk                      | Elective        | 1419         |
| SNMP-OSI          | SNMP over OSI                            | Elective        | 1418         |
| FTP-FTAM          | FTP-FTAM Gateway Specification           | Elective        | 1415         |
| IDENT-MIB         | Identification MIB                       | Elective        | 1414         |
| IDENT             | Identification Protocol                  | Elective        | 1413         |
| DS3/E3-MIB        | DS3/E3 Interface Type                    | Elective        | 1407         |
| DS1/E1-MIB        | DS1/E1 Interface Type                    | Elective        | 1406         |
| BGP-OSPF          | BGP OSPF Interaction                     | Elective        | 1403         |

|                |  |          |      |
|----------------|--|----------|------|
| -----          | Route Advertisement In BGP2 And BGP3     | Elective | 1397 |
| RIP2-MIB       | RIP Version 2 MIB Extension              | Elective | 1389 |
| RIP2           | RIP Version 2-Carrying Additional Info.  | Elective | 1388 |
| SNMP-X.25      | SNMP MIB Extension for X.25 Packet Layer | Elective | 1382 |
| SNMP-LAPB      | SNMP MIB Extension for X.25 LAPB         | Elective | 1381 |
| PPP-ATCP       | PPP AppleTalk Control Protocol           | Elective | 1378 |
| PPP-OSINLCP    | PPP OSI Network Layer Control Protocol   | Elective | 1377 |
| PP-DNCP        | PPP DECnet Phase IV Control Protocol     | Elective | 1376 |
| 802.3-MIB      | IEEE 802.3 Repeater MIB                  | Elective | 1368 |
| BGP-OSPF       | BGP OSPF Interaction                     | Elective | 1364 |
| TABLE-MIB      | IP Forwarding Table MIB                  | Elective | 1354 |
| SNMP-PARTY-MIB | Administration of SNMP                   | Elective | 1353 |
| SNMP-SEC       | SNMP Security Protocols                  | Elective | 1352 |
| SNMP-ADMIN     | SNMP Administrative Model                | Elective | 1351 |
| TOS            | Type of Service in the Internet          | Elective | 1349 |
| -----          | Representation of Non-ASCII Text         | Elective | 1342 |
| MIME           | Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions    | Elective | 1341 |
| PPP-AUTH       | PPP Authentication                       | Elective | 1334 |
| PPP-LINK       | PPP Link Quality Monitoring              | Elective | 1333 |
| PPP-IPCP       | PPP Control Protocol                     | Elective | 1332 |
| PPP            | Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP)            | Elective | 1331 |
| -----          | X.400 1988 to 1984 downgrading           | Elective | 1328 |
| -----          | Mapping between X.400(1988)              | Elective | 1327 |
| TCP-EXT        | TCP Extensions for High Performance      | Elective | 1323 |
| -----          | Def. Man. Objs Parallel-printer-like     | Elective | 1318 |
| -----          | Def. Man Objs RS-232-like                | Elective | 1317 |
| -----          | Def. Man. Objs. Character Stream         | Elective | 1316 |
| FRAME-MIB      | Management Information Base for Frame    | Elective | 1315 |
| NETFAX         | File Format for the Exchange of Images   | Elective | 1314 |
| SIP-MIB        | SIP Interface Type MIB                   | Elective | 1304 |
| IARP           | Inverse Address Resolution Protocol      | Elective | 1293 |
| DECNET-MIB     | DECNET MIB                               | Elective | 1289 |
| FDDI-MIB       | FDDI-MIB                                 | Elective | 1285 |
| -----          | Encoding Network Addresses               | Elective | 1277 |
| -----          | Replication and Distributed Operations   | Elective | 1276 |
| -----          | COSINE and Internet X.500 Schema         | Elective | 1274 |
| RMON-MIB       | Remote Network Monitoring MIB            | Elective | 1271 |
| BGP-MIB        | Border Gateway Protocol MIB (Version 3)  | Elective | 1269 |
| ICMP-ROUT      | ICMP Router Discovery Messages           | Elective | 1256 |
| OSPF-MIB       | OSPF Version 2 MIB                       | Elective | 1253 |
| IPSO           | DoD Security Options for IP              | Elective | 1108 |
| AT-MIB         | Appletalk MIB                            | Elective | 1243 |
| OSI-UDP        | OSI TS on UDP                            | Elective | 1240 |
| STD-MIBs       | Reassignment of Exp MIBs to Std MIBs     | Elective | 1239 |
| OSI-NSAP       | Guidelines for OSI NSAP Allocation       | Elective | 1237 |
| IPX-IP         | Tunneling IPX Traffic through IP Nets    | Elective | 1234 |
| 802.5-MIB      | IEEE 802.5 Token Ring MIB                | Elective | 1231 |
| GINT-MIB       | Extensions to the Generic-Interface MIB  | Elective | 1229 |

|             |  |          |          |
|-------------|--|----------|----------|
| PPP-EXT     | PPP Extensions for Bridging            | Elective | 1220     |
| IS-IS       | OSI IS-IS for TCP/IP Dual Environments | Elective | 1195     |
| IP-CMPRS    | Compressing TCP/IP Headers             | Elective | 1144     |
| ISO-TS-ECHO | Echo for ISO-8473                      | Elective | 1139     |
| -----       | Mapping Between X.400(1984)            | Elective | 1026,987 |
| NNTP        | Network News Transfer Protocol         | Elective | 977      |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

#### Applicability Statements:

OSPF - RFC 1370 is an applicability statement for OSPF.

#### 6.6. Telnet Options

For convenience, all the Telnet Options are collected here with both their state and status.

| Protocol    | Name                               | Number | State | Status | RFC   | STD   |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| =====       | =====                              | =====  | ===== | =====  | ===== | ===== |
| TOPT-BIN    | Binary Transmission                | 0      | Std   | Rec    | 856   | 27    |
| TOPT-ECHO   | Echo                               | 1      | Std   | Rec    | 857   | 28    |
| TOPT-RECN   | Reconnection                       | 2      | Prop  | Ele    | ...   |       |
| TOPT-SUPP   | Suppress Go Ahead                  | 3      | Std   | Rec    | 858   | 29    |
| TOPT-APRX   | Approx Message Size Negotiation    | 4      | Prop  | Ele    | ...   |       |
| TOPT-STAT   | Status                             | 5      | Std   | Rec    | 859   | 30    |
| TOPT-TIM    | Timing Mark                        | 6      | Std   | Rec    | 860   | 31    |
| TOPT-REM    | Remote Controlled Trans and Echo   | 7      | Prop  | Ele    | 726   |       |
| TOPT-OLW    | Output Line Width                  | 8      | Prop  | Ele    | ...   |       |
| TOPT-OPS    | Output Page Size                   | 9      | Prop  | Ele    | ...   |       |
| TOPT-OCRD   | Output Carriage-Return Disposition | 10     | Prop  | Ele    | 652   |       |
| TOPT-OHT    | Output Horizontal Tabstops         | 11     | Prop  | Ele    | 653   |       |
| TOPT-OHTD   | Output Horizontal Tab Disposition  | 12     | Prop  | Ele    | 654   |       |
| TOPT-OFD    | Output Formfeed Disposition        | 13     | Prop  | Ele    | 655   |       |
| TOPT-OVT    | Output Vertical Tabstops           | 14     | Prop  | Ele    | 656   |       |
| TOPT-OVTD   | Output Vertical Tab Disposition    | 15     | Prop  | Ele    | 657   |       |
| TOPT-OLD    | Output Linefeed Disposition        | 16     | Prop  | Ele    | 658   |       |
| TOPT-EXT    | Extended ASCII                     | 17     | Prop  | Ele    | 698   |       |
| TOPT-LOGO   | Logout                             | 18     | Prop  | Ele    | 727   |       |
| TOPT-BYTE   | Byte Macro                         | 19     | Prop  | Ele    | 735   |       |
| TOPT-DATA   | Data Entry Terminal                | 20     | Prop  | Ele    | 1043  |       |
| TOPT-SUP    | SUPDUP                             | 21     | Prop  | Ele    | 736   |       |
| TOPT-SUPO   | SUPDUP Output                      | 22     | Prop  | Ele    | 749   |       |
| TOPT-SNDL   | Send Location                      | 23     | Prop  | Ele    | 779   |       |
| TOPT-TERM   | Terminal Type                      | 24     | Prop  | Ele    | 1091  |       |
| TOPT-EOR    | End of Record                      | 25     | Prop  | Ele    | 885   |       |
| TOPT-TACACS | TACACS User Identification         | 26     | Prop  | Ele    | 927   |       |

|            |                              |     |       |     |      |    |
|------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|------|----|
| TOPT-OM    | Output Marking               | 27  | Prop  | Ele | 933  |    |
| TOPT-TLN   | Terminal Location Number     | 28  | Prop  | Ele | 946  |    |
| TOPT-3270  | Telnet 3270 Regime           | 29  | Prop  | Ele | 1041 |    |
| TOPT-X.3   | X.3 PAD                      | 30  | Prop  | Ele | 1053 |    |
| TOPT-NAWS  | Negotiate About Window Size  | 31  | Prop  | Ele | 1073 |    |
| TOPT-TS    | Terminal Speed               | 32  | Prop  | Ele | 1079 |    |
| TOPT-RFC   | Remote Flow Control          | 33  | Prop  | Ele | 1372 |    |
| TOPT-LINE  | Linemode                     | 34  | Draft | Ele | 1184 |    |
| TOPT-XDL   | X Display Location           | 35  | Prop  | Ele | 1096 |    |
| TOPT-ENVIR | Telnet Environment Option    | 36  | Prop  | Ele | 1408 |    |
| TOPT-AUTH  | Telnet Authentication Option | 37  | Exp   | Ele | 1416 |    |
| TOPT-EXTOP | Extended-Options-List        | 255 | Std   | Rec | 861  | 32 |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## 6.7. Experimental Protocols

All Experimental protocols have the Limited Use status.

| Protocol | Name                                       | RFC   |
|----------|--|-------|
| =====    | =====                                      | ===== |
| REM-PRT  | An Experiment in Remote Printing           | 1486* |
| RAP      | Internet Route Access Protocol             | 1476* |
| TP/IX    | TP/IX: The Next Internet                   | 1475* |
| X400     | Routing Coordination for X.400 Services    | 1465* |
| DNS      | Storing Arbitrary Attributes in DNS        | 1464* |
| IRCP     | Internet Relay Chat Protocol               | 1459* |
| TOS-LS   | Link Security TOS                          | 1455* |
| SIFT/UFT | Sender-Initiated/Unsolicited File Transfer | 1440* |
| DIR-ARP  | Directed ARP                               | 1433  |
| TEL-SPX  | Telnet Authentication: SPX                 | 1412  |
| TEL-KER  | Telnet Authentication: Kerberos V4         | 1411  |
| MAP-MAIL | X.400 Mapping and Mail-11                  | 1405  |
| TRACE-IP | Traceroute Using an IP Option              | 1393  |
| DNS-IP   | Experiment in DNS Based IP Routing         | 1383  |
| DNS NSAP | DNS NSAP RRs                               | 1348  |
| RMCP     | Remote Mail Checking Protocol              | 1339  |
| MSP2     | Message Send Protocol 2                    | 1312  |
| DSLCP    | Dynamically Switched Link Control          | 1307  |
| -----    | X.500 and Domains                          | 1279  |
| IN-ENCAP | Internet Encapsulation Protocol            | 1241  |
| CLNS-MIB | CLNS-MIB                                   | 1238  |
| CFDP     | Coherent File Distribution Protocol        | 1235  |
| SNMP-DPI | SNMP Distributed Program Interface         | 1228  |
| SNMP-MUX | SNMP MUX Protocol and MIB                  | 1227  |
| IP-AX.25 | IP Encapsulation of AX.25 Frames           | 1226  |
| ALERTS   | Managing Asynchronously Generated Alerts   | 1224  |

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| MPP        | Message Posting Protocol               | 1204      |
| ST-II      | Stream Protocol                        | 1190      |
| SNMP-BULK  | Bulk Table Retrieval with the SNMP     | 1187      |
| DNS-RR     | New DNS RR Definitions                 | 1183      |
| NTP-OSI    | NTP over OSI Remote Operations         | 1165      |
| EHF-MAIL   | Encoding Header Field for Mail         | 1154      |
| DMF-MAIL   | Digest Message Format for Mail         | 1153      |
| RDP        | Reliable Data Protocol                 | 908,1151  |
| -----      | Mapping between X.400(88) and RFC-822  | 1148      |
| TCP-ACO    | TCP Alternate Checksum Option          | 1146      |
| -----      | Mapping full 822 to Restricted 822     | 1137      |
| IP-DVMRP   | IP Distance Vector Multicast Routing   | 1075      |
| TCP-LDP    | TCP Extensions for Long Delay Paths    | 1072      |
| IMAP2      | Interactive Mail Access Protocol       | 1176,1064 |
| VMTP       | Versatile Message Transaction Protocol | 1045      |
| COOKIE-JAR | Authentication Scheme                  | 1004      |
| NETBLT     | Bulk Data Transfer Protocol            | 998       |
| IRTP       | Internet Reliable Transaction Protocol | 938       |
| AUTH       | Authentication Service                 | 931       |
| LDP        | Loader Debugger Protocol               | 909       |
| RLP        | Resource Location Protocol             | 887       |
| NVP-II     | Network Voice Protocol                 | ISI-memo  |
| PVP        | Packet Video Protocol                  | ISI-memo  |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## 6.8. Informational Protocols

Information protocols have no status.

| Protocol   | Name   | RFC   |
|------------|--|-------|
| =====      | =====  | ===== |
| TACACS     | Terminal Access Control Protocol               | 1492* |
| SUN-NFS    | Network File System Protocol                   | 1094* |
| SUN-RPC    | Remote Procedure Call Protocol Version 2       | 1057* |
| GOPHER     | The Internet Gopher Protocol                   | 1436  |
| -----      | Data Link Switching: Switch-to-Switch Protocol | 1434  |
| LISTSERV   | Listserv Distribute Protocol                   | 1429  |
| -----      | Replication Requirements                       | 1275  |
| PCMAIL     | Pcmail Transport Protocol                      | 1056  |
| MTP        | Multicast Transport Protocol                   | 1301  |
| BSD Login  | BSD Login                                      | 1282  |
| DIXIE      | DIXIE Protocol Specification                   | 1249  |
| IP-X.121   | IP to X.121 Address Mapping for DDN            | 1236  |
| OSI-HYPER  | OSI and LLC1 on HYPERchannel                   | 1223  |
| HAP2       | Host Access Protocol                           | 1221  |
| SUBNETASGN | On the Assignment of Subnet Numbers            | 1219  |

|            |                                  |      |
|------------|----------------------------------|------|
| SNMP-TRAPS | Defining Traps for use with SNMP | 1215 |
| DAS        | Directory Assistance Service     | 1202 |
| MD4        | MD4 Message Digest Algorithm     | 1186 |
| LPDP       | Line Printer Daemon Protocol     | 1179 |

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## 6.9. Historic Protocols

All Historic protocols have Not Recommended status.

| Protocol   | Name                                       | RFC       |
|------------|--|-----------|
| =====      | =====                                      | =====     |
| OIM-MIB-II | OSI Internet Management: MIB-II            | 1214*     |
| IMAP3      | Interactive Mail Access Protocol Version 3 | 1203*     |
| IP-ARC     | Transmitting IP Traffic over ARCNET Nets   | 1201*     |
| SUN-RPC    | Remote Procedure Call Protocol Version 1   | 1050*     |
| 802.4-MIP  | IEEE 802.4 Token Bus MIB                   | 1230      |
| CMOT       | Common Management Information Services     | 1189      |
| PPP-INIT   | PPP Initial Configuration Options          | 1172      |
| MSP        | Message Send Protocol                      | 1159      |
| -----      | Mail Privacy: Procedures                   | 1113      |
| -----      | Mail Privacy: Key Management               | 1114      |
| -----      | Mail Privacy: Algorithms                   | 1115      |
| NFILE      | A File Access Protocol                     | 1037      |
| HOSTNAME   | HOSTNAME Protocol                          | 953       |
| SFTP       | Simple File Transfer Protocol              | 913       |
| SUPDUP     | SUPDUP Protocol                            | 734       |
| BGP        | Border Gateway Protocol                    | 1163,1164 |
| MIB-I      | MIB-I                                      | 1156      |
| SGMP       | Simple Gateway Monitoring Protocol         | 1028      |
| HEMS       | High Level Entity Management Protocol      | 1021      |
| STATSRV    | Statistics Server                          | 996       |
| POP2       | Post Office Protocol, Version 2            | 937       |
| RATP       | Reliable Asynchronous Transfer Protocol    | 916       |
| HFEP       | Host - Front End Protocol                  | 929       |
| THINWIRE   | Thinwire Protocol                          | 914       |
| HMP        | Host Monitoring Protocol                   | 869       |
| GGP        | Gateway Gateway Protocol                   | 823       |
| RTELNET    | Remote Telnet Service                      | 818       |
| CLOCK      | DCNET Time Server Protocol                 | 778       |
| MPM        | Internet Message Protocol                  | 759       |
| NETRJS     | Remote Job Service                         | 740       |
| NETED      | Network Standard Text Editor               | 569       |
| RJE        | Remote Job Entry                           | 407       |
| XNET       | Cross Net Debugger                         | IEN-158   |
| NAMESERVER | Host Name Server Protocol                  | IEN-116   |

MUX            Multiplexing Protocol  
GRAPHICS      Graphics Protocol

IEN-90  
NIC-24308

[Note: an asterisk at the end of a line indicates a change from the previous edition of this document.]

## 7. Contacts

### 7.1. IAB, IETF, and IRTF Contacts

#### 7.1.1. Internet Architecture Board (IAB) Contact

Please send your comments about this list of protocols and especially about the Draft Standard Protocols to the Internet Architecture Board care of Bob Braden, IAB Executive Director.

#### Contacts:

Bob Braden  
Executive Director of the IAB  
USC/Information Sciences Institute  
4676 Admiralty Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-6695

1-310-822-1511

Braden@ISI.EDU

Christian Huitema  
Chair of the IAB  
INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis  
2004 Route des Lucioles  
BP 109  
F-06561 Valbonne Cedex  
France

+33 93 65 77 15

Christian.Huitema@MIRSA.INRIA.FR



## 7.1.2. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Contact

## Contacts:

Phill Gross  
Chair of the IETF  
Advanced Network and Services  
100 Clearbrook Road  
Elmsford, NY 10523

1-914-789-5300

PGross@ANS.NET

John Stewart  
IESG Secretary  
Corporation for National Research Initiatives  
1895 Preston White Drive, Suite 100  
Reston, VA 22091

1-703-620-8990

jstewart@CNRI.RESTON.VA.US

Steve Coya  
Executive Director of the IETF  
Corporation for National Research Initiatives  
1895 Preston White Drive, Suite 100  
Reston, VA 22091

1-703-620-8990

scoya@CNRI.RESTON.VA.US

### 7.1.3. Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Contact

Contact:

Jon Postel  
Chair of the IRTF  
USC/Information Sciences Institute  
4676 Admiralty Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-6695  
  
1-310-822-1511  
  
Postel@ISI.EDU

### 7.2. Internet Assigned Numbers Authority Contact

Contact:

Joyce K. Reynolds  
Internet Assigned Numbers Authority  
USC/Information Sciences Institute  
4676 Admiralty Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-6695  
  
1-310-822-1511  
  
IANA@ISI.EDU

The protocol standards are managed by the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority.

Please refer to the document "Assigned Numbers" (RFC-1340) for further information about the status of protocol documents. There are two documents that summarize the requirements for host and gateways in the Internet, "Host Requirements" (RFC-1122 and RFC-1123) and "Gateway Requirements" (RFC-1009).

How to obtain the most recent edition of this "Internet Official Protocol Standards" memo:

The file "in-notes/internet-standards.txt" may be copied via FTP from the VENERA.ISI.EDU computer using the FTP username "anonymous" and FTP password "guest".

### 7.3. Request for Comments Editor Contact

Contact:

Jon Postel  
RFC Editor  
USC/Information Sciences Institute  
4676 Admiralty Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-6695  
  
1-310-822-1511  
  
RFC-Editor@ISI.EDU

Documents may be submitted via electronic mail to the RFC Editor for consideration for publication as RFC. If you are not familiar with the format or style requirements please request the "Instructions for RFC Authors". In general, the style of any recent RFC may be used as a guide.

### 7.4. The Network Information Center and Requests for Comments Distribution Contact

RFC's may be obtained from DS.INTERNIC.NET via FTP, WAIS, and electronic mail. Through FTP, RFC's are stored as rfc/rfcnnnn.txt or rfc/rfcnnnn.ps where 'nnnn' is the RFC number. Login as "anonymous" and provide your e-mail address as the password. Through WAIS, you may use either your local WAIS client or telnet to DS.INTERNIC.NET and login as "wais" (no password required) to access a WAIS client. Help information and a tutorial for using WAIS are available online. The WAIS database to search is "rfcs".

Directory and Database Services also provides a mail server interface. Send a mail message to mailserv@ds.internic.net and include any of the following commands in the message body:

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| document-by-name rfcnnnn  | where 'nnnn' is the RFC number<br>The text version is sent.    |
| file /ftp/rfc/rfcnnnn.yyy | where 'nnnn' is the RFC number.<br>and 'yyy' is 'txt' or 'ps'. |
| help                      | to get information on how to use<br>the mailserver.            |

The InterNIC directory and database services collection of resource listings, internet documents such as RFCs, FYIs, STDs, and Internet Drafts, and publicly accessible databases are also

now available via Gopher. All our collections are WAIS indexed and can be searched from the Gopher menu.

To access the InterNIC Gopher Servers, please connect to "internic.net" port 70.

Contact: admin@ds.internic.net

#### 7.5. Sources for Requests for Comments

Details on many sources of RFCs via FTP or EMAIL may be obtained by sending an EMAIL message to "rfc-info@ISI.EDU" with the message body "help: ways\_to\_get\_rfcs". For example:

To: rfc-info@ISI.EDU  
Subject: getting rfcs

help: ways\_to\_get\_rfcs

#### 8. Security Considerations

Security issues are not addressed in this memo.

#### 9. Author's Address

Jon Postel  
USC/Information Sciences Institute  
4676 Admiralty Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Phone: 310-822-1511  
Fax: 310-823-6714

Email: Postel@ISI.EDU