

## Special-Use IPv6 Addresses

### Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This document is a compilation of special IPv6 addresses defined in other RFCs. It can be used as a checklist of invalid routing prefixes for developing filtering policies for routes and IP packets. It does not discuss addresses that are assigned to operators and users through the Regional Internet Registries.

### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	2
2.	Address Blocks . . . . .	2
2.1.	Node-Scoped Unicast . . . . .	2
2.2.	IPv4-Mapped Addresses . . . . .	2
2.3.	IPv4-Compatible Addresses . . . . .	2
2.4.	Link-Scoped Unicast . . . . .	2
2.5.	Unique-Local . . . . .	3
2.6.	Documentation Prefix . . . . .	3
2.7.	6to4 . . . . .	3
2.8.	Teredo . . . . .	3
2.9.	6bone . . . . .	3
2.10.	ORCHID . . . . .	3
2.11.	Default Route . . . . .	4
2.12.	IANA Special-Purpose IPv6 Address Registry . . . . .	4
2.13.	Multicast . . . . .	4
3.	Security Considerations . . . . .	4
4.	IANA Considerations . . . . .	4
5.	Acknowledgements . . . . .	4
6.	References . . . . .	5
6.1.	Normative References . . . . .	5
6.2.	Informative References . . . . .	5

## 1. Introduction

This document is a compilation of special IPv6 addresses defined in other RFCs. It can be used as a checklist of invalid routing prefixes for developing filtering policies for routes and IP packets. It does not discuss addresses that are assigned to operators and users through the Regional Internet Registries.

The document is structured by address types. The document format is similar to [RFC3330].

Some tips about filtering are given, but are not mandatory to implement.

The addresses listed in this document must not be hard-coded into implementations.

## 2. Address Blocks

### 2.1. Node-Scoped Unicast

::1/128 is the loopback address [RFC4291].

::/128 is the unspecified address [RFC4291].

These two addresses should not appear on the public Internet.

### 2.2. IPv4-Mapped Addresses

::FFFF:0:0/96 are the IPv4-mapped addresses [RFC4291]. Addresses within this block should not appear on the public Internet.

### 2.3. IPv4-Compatible Addresses

::<ipv4-address>/96 are the IPv4-compatible addresses [RFC4291]. These addresses are deprecated and should not appear on the public Internet.

### 2.4. Link-Scoped Unicast

fe80::/10 are the link-local unicast [RFC4291] addresses. Addresses within this block should not appear on the public Internet.

## 2.5. Unique-Local

fc00::/7 are the unique-local addresses [RFC4193]. Addresses within this block should not appear by default on the public Internet. Procedures for advertising these addresses are further described in [RFC4193].

## 2.6. Documentation Prefix

The 2001:db8::/32 are the documentation addresses [RFC3849]. They are used for documentation purposes such as user manuals, RFCs, etc. Addresses within this block should not appear on the public Internet.

## 2.7. 6to4

2002::/16 are the 6to4 addresses [RFC3056]. The 6to4 addresses may be advertised when the site is running a 6to4 relay or offering a 6to4 transit service. Running such a service [RFC3964] entails filtering rules specific to 6to4 [RFC3964]. IPv4 addresses disallowed in 6to4 prefixes are listed in section 5.3.1 of [RFC3964].

## 2.8. Teredo

2001::/32 are the Teredo addresses [RFC4380]. The Teredo addresses may be advertised when the site is running a Teredo relay or offering a Teredo transit service.

## 2.9. 6bone

5f00::/8 were the addresses of the first instance of the 6bone experimental network [RFC1897].

3ffe::/16 were the addresses of the second instance of the 6bone experimental network [RFC2471].

Both 5f00::/8 and 3ffe::/16 were returned to IANA [RFC3701]. These addresses are subject to future allocation, similar to current unallocated address space. Addresses within these blocks should not appear on the public Internet until they are reallocated.

## 2.10. ORCHID

2001:10::/28 are Overlay Routable Cryptographic Hash IDentifiers (ORCHID) addresses [RFC4843]. These addresses are used as identifiers and are not routable at the IP layer. Addresses within this block should not appear on the public Internet.

### 2.11. Default Route

`::/0` is the default unicast route address.

### 2.12. IANA Special-Purpose IPv6 Address Registry

An IANA registry (`iana-ipv6-special-registry`) exists [RFC4773] for Special-Purpose IPv6 address block assignments for experiments and other purposes. Addresses within this registry should be reviewed for Internet routing considerations.

### 2.13. Multicast

`ff00::/8` are multicast addresses [RFC4291]. They contain a 4-bit scope in the address field where only some values are of global scope [RFC4291]. Only addresses with global scope in this block may appear on the public Internet.

Multicast routes must not appear in unicast routing tables.

## 3. Security Considerations

Filtering the invalid routing prefixes listed in this document should improve the security of networks.

## 4. IANA Considerations

To ensure consistency and to provide cross-referencing for the benefit of the community, IANA has inserted the following paragraph in the header of the `iana-ipv6-special-registry`.

"Other special IPv6 addresses requiring specific considerations for global routing are listed in RFC 5156."

## 5. Acknowledgements

Florent Parent, Pekka Savola, Tim Chown, Alain Baudot, Stig Venaas, Vincent Jardin, Olaf Bonness, David Green, Gunter Van de Velde, Michael Barnes, Fred Baker, Edward Lewis, Marla Azinger, Brian Carpenter, Mark Smith, Kevin Loch, Alain Durand, Jim Bound, Peter Sherbin, Bob Hinden, Gert Doering, Niall O'Reilly, Mark Townsley, Jari Arkko, and Iain Calder have provided input and suggestions to this document.

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [RFC4291] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 4291, February 2006.

### 6.2. Informative References

- [RFC1897] Hinden, R. and J. Postel, "IPv6 Testing Address Allocation", RFC 1897, January 1996.
- [RFC2471] Hinden, R., Fink, R., and J. Postel, "IPv6 Testing Address Allocation", RFC 2471, December 1998.
- [RFC3056] Carpenter, B. and K. Moore, "Connection of IPv6 Domains via IPv4 Clouds", RFC 3056, February 2001.
- [RFC3330] IANA, "Special-Use IPv4 Addresses", RFC 3330, September 2002.
- [RFC3701] Fink, R. and R. Hinden, "6bone (IPv6 Testing Address Allocation) Phaseout", RFC 3701, March 2004.
- [RFC3849] Huston, G., Lord, A., and P. Smith, "IPv6 Address Prefix Reserved for Documentation", RFC 3849, July 2004.
- [RFC3964] Savola, P. and C. Patel, "Security Considerations for 6to4", RFC 3964, December 2004.
- [RFC4193] Hinden, R. and B. Haberman, "Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses", RFC 4193, October 2005.
- [RFC4380] Huitema, C., "Teredo: Tunneling IPv6 over UDP through Network Address Translations (NATs)", RFC 4380, February 2006.
- [RFC4773] Huston, G., "Administration of the IANA Special Purpose IPv6 Address Block", RFC 4773, December 2006.
- [RFC4843] Nikander, P., Laganier, J., and F. Dupont, "An IPv6 Prefix for Overlay Routable Cryptographic Hash Identifiers (ORCHID)", RFC 4843, April 2007.

## Author's Address

Marc Blanchet  
Viagenie  
2600 boul. Laurier, suite 625  
Quebec, QC G1V 4W1  
Canada

EMail: Marc.Blanchet@viagenie.ca

URI: <http://www.viagenie.ca>

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

