

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 3004  
Category: Standards Track

G. Stump  
IBM  
R. Droms  
Cisco Systems  
Y. Gu  
R. Vyaghrapuri  
A. Demirtjis  
Microsoft  
B. Beser  
Pacific Broadband Communications  
J. Privat  
Northstream AB  
November 2000

## The User Class Option for DHCP

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

This option is used by a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) client to optionally identify the type or category of user or applications it represents. The information contained in this option is an opaque field that represents the user class of which the client is a member. Based on this class, a DHCP server selects the appropriate address pool to assign an address to the client and the appropriate configuration parameters. This option should be configurable by a user.

### 1. Introduction

DHCP administrators may define specific user class identifiers to convey information about a client's software configuration or about its user's preferences. For example, the User Class option can be used to configure all clients of people in the accounting department with a different printer than clients of people in the marketing department.

## 2. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [3].

## 3. DHCP Terminology

- o "DHCP client"  
A DHCP client or "client" is an Internet host using DHCP to obtain configuration parameters such as a network address.
- o "DHCP server"  
A DHCP server or "server" is an Internet host that returns configuration parameters to DHCP clients.
- o "binding"  
A binding is a collection of configuration parameters, including at least an IP address, associated with or "bound to" a DHCP client. Bindings are managed by DHCP servers.

## 4. User Class option

This option is used by a DHCP client to optionally identify the type or category of user or applications it represents. A DHCP server uses the User Class option to choose the address pool it allocates an address from and/or to select any other configuration option.

This option is a DHCP option [1, 2].

This option MAY carry multiple User Classes. Servers may interpret the meanings of multiple class specifications in an implementation dependent or configuration dependent manner, and so the use of multiple classes by a DHCP client should be based on the specific server implementation and configuration which will be used to process that User class option.

The format of this option is as follows:

Code	Len	Value
77	N	User Class Data ('Len' octets)

where Value consists of one or more instances of User Class Data. Each instance of User Class Data is formatted as follows:

```

      UC_Len_i      User_Class_Data_i
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|  L_i  | Opaque-Data ('UC_Len_i' octets) |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Each User Class value (User\_Class\_Data\_i) is indicated as an opaque field. The value in UC\_Len\_i does not include the length field itself and MUST be non-zero. Let m be the number of User Classes carried in the option. The length of the option as specified in Len must be the sum of the lengths of each of the class names plus m: Len= UC\_Len\_1 + UC\_Len\_2 + ... + UC\_Len\_m + m. If any instances of User Class Data are present, the minimum value of Len is two (Len = UC\_Len\_1 + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2).

The Code for this option is 77.

A server that is not equipped to interpret any given user class specified by a client MUST ignore it (although it may be reported). If a server recognizes one or more user classes specified by the client, but does not recognize one or more other user classes specified by the client, the server MAY use the user classes it recognizes.

DHCP clients implementing this option SHOULD allow users to enter one or more user class values.

## 5. IANA Considerations

Option 77, which IANA has already assigned for this purpose, should be used as the User Class Option for DHCP.

## 6. Security Considerations

DHCP currently provides no authentication or security mechanisms. Potential exposures to attack are discussed in section 7 of the protocol specification [1].

This lack of authentication mechanism means that a DHCP server cannot check if a client or user is authorized to use a given User Class. This introduces an obvious vulnerability when using the User Class option. For example, if the User Class is used to give out a special parameter (e.g., a particular database server), there is no way to authenticate a client and it is therefore impossible to check if a client is authorized to use this parameter.

## 7. References

- [1] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", RFC 2131, March 1997.
- [2] Alexander, S. and R. Droms, "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", RFC 2132, March 1997.
- [3] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

## 8. Acknowledgments

This document is based on earlier drafts by Glenn Stump, Ralph Droms, Ye Gu, Ramesh Vyaghrapuri and Burcak Beser. Thanks to Ted Lemon, Steve Gonczi, Kim Kinnear, Bernie Volz, Richard Jones, Barr Hibbs and Thomas Narten for their comments and suggestions.

## 9. Authors' Addresses

Glenn Stump  
IBM Networking Software  
P.O. Box 12195  
RTP, NC 27709

Phone: 919 301 4277  
EMail: stumpga@us.ibm.com

Ralph Droms  
Cisco Systems  
300 Apollo Drive  
Chelmsford, MA 01824

Phone: 978 244 4733  
EMail: rdroms@cisco.com

Ye Gu  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052

Phone: 425 936 8601  
EMail: yegu@microsoft.com

Ramesh Vyaghrapuri  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052

Phone: 425 703 9581  
EMail: rameshv@microsoft.com

Burcak Beser  
Pacific Broadband Communications  
3103 North 1st Street  
San Jose, CA 95134

Phone: 408 468 6265  
Email: Burcak@pacband.com

Ann Demirtjis  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond WA 98052

Phone: 425 705 2254  
EMail: annd@microsoft.com

Jerome Privat  
Northstream AB  
Espace Beethoven 1  
1200 Route des Lucioles  
BP 302  
06906 Sophia Antipolis Cedex  
France

Phone: +33 4 97 23 40 45  
Fax: +33 4 97 23 24 51  
Mobile: +33 6 13 81 76 71  
Email: jerome.privat@northstream.se

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

