

## Internet Growth (1981-1991)

### Status of this Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This document illustrates the growth of the Internet by examination of entries in the Domain Name System (DNS) and pre-DNS host tables. DNS entries are collected by a program called ZONE, which searches the Internet and retrieves data from all known domains. Pre-DNS host table data were retrieved from system archive tapes. Various statistics are presented on the number of hosts and domains.

### Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
How ZONE Works.....	2
Problems with Data Collection.....	3
Scope of the Study.....	3
N. Results.....	4
N.1 Number of Internet Hosts.....	4
N.2 Number of Domains.....	6
N.3 Distribution of IP Addresses per Host.....	7
N.4 Distribution of Hosts by Top-level Domain.....	7
N.5 Distribution of Hosts by Host Name.....	8
Future Issues.....	8
RFC References.....	9
Security Considerations.....	9
Author's Address.....	9

### Introduction

This document provides statistics on the growth of the Internet by examining the number of Internet hosts and domains over a 10-year period. Before the Domain Name System was established, practically all hosts on the Internet were registered with the Network Information Center (SRI-NIC) and entries were placed in the Official Host Table for each one. Data on the number of hosts for pre-DNS

years comes from copies of the host table at selected times. The DNS system was introduced around 1984 but took almost 4 years before it was fully implemented on the Internet. However, by this time many hosts were no longer registered in the Host Table.

In 1986, the ZONE (Zealot Of Name Edification) program was written. ZONE was originally intended to be used during the host-table-to-DNS transition period. ZONE would "walk" the DNS tree and build a host table of all the information it collected. This host table could then be used by sites that had not yet made the DNS transition. However, ZONE was never used for this purpose. Instead, it was found to be useful for collecting statistics on the size of the domain system and the Internet.

ZONE could not collect complete data on the DNS until around 1988, because early versions of BIND (the popular Unix DNS implementation) had major problems with the zone transfer function of the DNS protocol. ZONE has been used in varying ways ever since to collect this information. In the first few years, it was used to produce a wall-size chart of the domain tree. However, the number of domains quickly outgrew the size of the wall and the charts were abandoned. In later years, statistics on the number of hosts and domains were extracted from the resulting host table, sometimes categorizing data based on top-level domain names or on computer system type or manufacturer.

The time to gather the data also grew from hours to a week, and the size of the host table produced soon reached 50 megabytes. In order to reduce the amount of data collected, ZONE is now run in a mode collecting only host names and IP addresses, ignoring protocol, host information and MX record data. The host table is then groveled over by some utilities (such as sort, uniq and grep) to produce the statistics required. ZONE is currently run every 3 months at SRI.

#### How ZONE Works

ZONE maintains a list of domains and their servers and a flag indicating whether information for a domain has been successfully loaded from one of the servers. Because of another bug in BIND, ZONE must be primed with a list of all the top-level domains and their name servers. It then cycles through the domain list, attempting to contact one of the servers for each domain not yet transferred. When a server is contacted (via TCP), a Start of Authority (SOA) query is first sent to make sure the server is authoritative for the domain being requested. If so, then a zone transfer query (AXFR) is sent to request all the resource records for the domain to be retrieved.

When a name server record (NS) is received, the referenced domain and

server are added to the list of domains to process. When host records (A, CNAME, HINFO, MX) are received, they are added to an in-core table of host information. The program ends when it has cycled through the entire list of domains without receiving any new information. It then dumps the table of host information to a HOSTS.TXT format file.

### Problems with Data Collection

For various reasons, some Internet sites do not allow zone transfers of their domain servers. ZONE also eventually gives up trying to transfer a domain after too many failures. The number of domains that could not be zone transferred during the 1-Jan-92 ZONE run was around 800 out of 17,000. Additionally, it is assumed that not all hosts on the Internet are registered in a domain server. These problems cause the statistics gathered by ZONE to be lower than the actual amounts.

Manual review of some of the data collected by ZONE also shows a lot of random entries in the DNS. Misformatted entries may cause bogus server or host records to appear. Many times a server is found to not be authoritative for the domain listed. Sometimes entire domains are renamed and their old entries left in place for a transition period, thus causing each host within that domain to be counted twice. These problems cause the results of ZONE to be higher than the actual amounts.

Manual scanning of the data indicates that the additional entries are insignificant compared to the missing entries discussed earlier. ZONE data can thus be viewed as the minimum number of Internet hosts, and not the actual figures.

A final problem with data collection is that of expense. Downloading domain information from every domain on the Internet generates a large amount of network traffic. It also puts an extra CPU load on each domain server it must contact. An organized effort might be considered to have only one such program doing this on the Internet at regularly scheduled intervals to keep the problem of multiple data collectors from occurring.

### Scope of the Study

A problem with counting hosts and domains on the Internet is defining what the Internet really is. Finding host entries in the DNS does not necessarily indicate that the host is reachable from the Internet. Many companies have mail gateways between the Internet and their local nets, thus disallowing direct access. However, some of these companies advertise all their hosts, and some advertise only

the gateway. Are these hosts on the Internet or not?

Furthermore, many domains in the DNS are just mail-forwarding (MX) entries for off-Internet (such as Usenet) sites. Are these domains really part of the Internet and should they be counted in an Internet size study?

For the purposes of this study, a host has been defined as a [name(s),IP-address(es)] grouping discovered from the DNS. This prevents us from counting a host with multiple names or addresses more than once. However, this does not consider whether the host is directly accessible or not. When ZONE counts the number of domains it includes all domains referenced by an NS record in the DNS, thus including MX-only domain sites in the final results.


## N. Results

This section presents data from archive tapes of SRI-NIC from 1981 to 1986, and statistics gathered by runs of ZONE from 1986 to 1992.

### N.1 Number of Internet Hosts

The chart below shows the number of IP hosts on the Internet. These are hosts with at least one IP address assigned. Data was collected by ZONE except where noted. The following two sections are graphs of the data in this chart.

Date	Hosts	
08/81	213	Host table #152
05/82	235	Host table #166
08/83	562	Host table #300
10/84	1,024	Host table #392
10/85	1,961	Host table #485
02/86	2,308	Host table #515
11/86	5,089	
12/87	28,174	
07/88	33,000	
10/88	56,000	
01/89	80,000	
07/89	130,000	
10/89	159,000	
10/90	313,000	
01/91	376,000	
07/91	535,000	
10/91	617,000	
01/92	727,000	





### N.3 Distribution of IP Addresses per Host

This chart shows how many hosts have how many IP addresses. This data was collected on 1-Jan-92 and only the first 10 entries are shown.

Addresses	Hosts
1	715143
2	9015
3	1027
4	556
5	314
6	213
7	100
8	85
9	58
10	71

### N.4 Distribution of Hosts by Top-level Domain

This chart shows the number of hosts per top-level domain (top 40 only) on 1-Jan-92. The percentage listed is the increase since 1-Oct-91. Large variations are probably due to problems and variations in the collection process; these figures are not meant to be authoritative, but serve as reasonable estimates.

243020	edu	13%	13011	fr	4%	1791	dk	4%	357	be	-5%
181361	com	12%	12770	nl	21%	1662	es	15%	334	gr	14%
46463	gov	13%	12647	ch	10%	1506	kr	9%	308	br	26%
31622	au	19%	11994	fi	15%	1111	nz	-16%	284	mx	-5%
31016	de	20%	10228	no	9%	1016	tw	n/a	207	is	0%
27492	mil	26%	8579	jp	6%	929	za	n/a	146	pl	97%
27052	ca	22%	4109	net	-49%	784	pt	n/a	127	us	25%
19117	org	10%	3324	at	19%	484	sg	251%	25	tn	0%
18984	uk	139%	2719	it	197%	448	hk	78%	24	hu	71%
18473	se	34%	2020	il	14%	374	ie	-7%	6	arpa	0%

## N.5 Distribution of Hosts by Host Name

This chart shows the distribution of hosts by their host name on 1-Jan-92. The host name is defined to be the first part of a fully qualified domain name. Only the top 100 names are shown.

384 venus	204 mac4	172 mac9	155 pollux	138 chaos
356 pluto	201 hobbes	172 mac11	155 frodo	136 bart
323 mars	201 hermes	170 mac8	153 helios	135 pc5
288 jupiter	198 thor	169 phoenix	152 mac17	135 larry
286 saturn	198 sirius	169 mac12	151 vega	135 cs
285 pcl	196 gw	169 hal	151 mac18	133 odin
282 zeus	195 calvin	168 snoopy	150 falcon	131 tiger
262 iris	194 mac5	168 mac13	150 bach	131 sparky
260 mercury	191 mac10	167 mac15	146 castor	131 ariel
259 mac1	190 fred	167 mac14	145 sol	130 sneezy
258 orion	189 titan	167 grumpy	145 dopey	128 mac
254 mac2	189 pc3	163 gandalf	144 mac20	127 sun1
240 newton	186 opus	162 pc4	144 mac19	127 rocky
234 neptune	186 mac6	160 uranus	142 spock	126 pc6
233 pc2	185 charon	159 mac16	142 euler	125 hydra
224 gauss	185 apollo	158 sleepy	141 mickey	125 homer
222 eagle	179 mac7	158 io	141 atlas	124 isis
213 mac3	179 athena	157 earth	140 maxwell	123 moe
209 merlin	177 alpha	156 europa	140 happy	123 delta
207 cisco	172 mozart	155 rigel	140 doc	122 pc10

## Future Issues

ZONE currently runs on a DECsystem-20 and is written in assembler. The amount of data is quickly reaching the limits of the DEC-20 section address space, and the hardware's ability to survive gets slimmer each day. ZONE assembles all its data in core before dumping it to disk. The implementation does this in order to be able to match host nicknames with official names before dumping complete host records. Sometimes a nickname can be in a different domain than the official name, complicating simpler methods.

A new version of ZONE needs to be written to run on a modern computer system. A completely new architecture should be designed to handle the enormous amount of data collected and expected in the future. Data should be kept on disk so that a system crash will not wipe out days of collection. Multiple zone transfers could be occurring in parallel to reduce the time needed for data gathering. A new ZONE might run continuously, cycling through the domain system on a cycle lasting weeks to a month, updating a local database with statistics collected for each domain. In this way, current statistics on the size of the Internet would always be known. The resulting database



may also be useful for other network information services.

#### RFC References

Libes, D., "Choosing a Name for Your Computer", RFC 1178, Integrated Systems Group/NIST, August 1990. (Also FYI 5.)

Mockapetris, P., "Domain Names - Implementation and Specification", RFC 1035, USC/Information Sciences Institute, November 1987.

Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - Concepts and Facilities", RFC 1034, USC/Information Sciences Institute, November 1987.

Lazear, W., "MILNET Name Domain Transition", RFC 1031, Mitre, November 1987.

Harrenstien, K. Stahl, M., and J. Feinler, "DoD Internet Host Table Specification", SRI, October 1985.

Postel, J., "Domain Name System Implementation Schedule - Revised", RFC 921, USC/Information Sciences Institute, October 1984.

#### Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

#### Author's Address

Mark K. Lottor  
SRI International  
Network Information Systems Center  
333 Ravenswood Avenue, EJ282  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

EMail: mkl@nisc.sri.com